




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>C12N 15/82</b>		A2	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/58484</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 5 October 2000 (05.10.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/CA00/00306		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(22) International Filing Date: 24 March 2000 (24.03.00)			
(30) Priority Data: 2,267,014                      26 March 1999 (26.03.99)                      CA			
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH [CA/CA]; Reynolds Building, Suite 213, Office of Research, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1 (CA).			
(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ROJAS, Brenda [CA/CA]; 64 Dumbarton, Guelph, Ontario N1E 3T6 (CA). BOWLEY, Stephen, R. [CA/CA]; 50 Alice Street, Cambridge, Ontario N1E 2Z8 (CA). DEVEREAUX, Alissa [CA/CA]; 72 William Street, Apt. #2, Guelph, Ontario N1E 5E6 (CA).		Published Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.	
(74) Agents: SECHLEY, Konrad, A. et al.; Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP., Suite 2600, 160 Elgin Street, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3 (CA).			

(54) Title: TRANSFORMATION OF MONOCOTYLEDONEOUS PLANTS USING AGROBACTERIUM

## (57) Abstract

The present invention is directed to a method for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising exposing explant tissue of said monocot to an *Agrobacterium* strain under vacuum in the presence of a phenolic compound, said *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector. The *Agrobacterium* is removed from the explant tissue, and an antibiotic against the *Agrobacterium* is added, and the transformed tissue is plated onto growth medium, grown, and then plated onto selection media. The method may be used with vectors comprising either a vir+ vector, or a super virulent vector.



*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

- 1 -

## TRANSFORMATION OF MONOCOTYLEDONEOUS PLANTS USING AGROBACTERIUM

The present invention relates to a method for the transformation of monocot plants. More specifically this invention relates to a method for the transformation of  
5 monocot plants using *Agrobacterium* sp..

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The host range of *Agrobacterium* spp. is typically restricted to dicotyledons  
10 such as alfalfa, cotton, tobacco, etc. As monocots are not naturally parasitized by *Agrobacterium*, transformation of monocot species via *Agrobacterium* has been problematic. For example, US 5,780,709, US 5,780,708, US 5,508,468 and Potrykus (1990, Bio/Technol 8:535-542; 1990, Physiol. Plant 79:125-134) comment on the unreliability of *Agrobacterium* for maize transformation protocols.

15

Many *Agrobacterium* transformation methods used for monocots require injury to the tissue, either by wounding the tissue in some manner or particle bombardment. For example, US 5,187,073 and 5,177,010 disclose a method for transforming monocots by wounding a seedling from the scutellar node to about the coleoptile node,  
20 and inoculating the wound with a vir+ strain of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. US 5,712,135 and 5,641,664 disclose the wounding of embryogenic callus by, for example, cutting up the callus into pieces, and transferring DNA into the wounded tissue. The use of microparticle bombardment of callus cultures is disclosed in US 5,780,709; US 5,780,708; US 5,773,269; US 5,554,798; US 5,508,468; US  
25 5,484,956 and US 5,405,765. Similarly, microparticle bombardment of suspension cultures is taught in US 5,550,318 and US 5,489,520. Treatment of callus cultures with a wall degrading enzyme, thereby wounding the tissue is described in US 5,712,135. Similarly, the use of an inhibitor of poly-(ADP-ribose) polymerase activity (niacinamide) for reducing the stress response in cultured tissue in order to permit  
30 transformation is disclosed in WO97/06267.

- 2 -

Prior art methods for transforming monocots requires the use of super virulent vectors (e.g. US 5,712,135; 5,641,664; 5,773,269; 5,554,798; 5,484,956, 5,405,765; and 5,591,616) that exhibit extremely high transformation efficiencies when used in dicot transformation. A super virulent vector comprises the *vir* region from a super virulent *Agrobacterium*, such as *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* A281 (e.g. US 5,591,616). A protocol for monocot transformation using a super virulent vector, in the absence of explant wounding is found in US 5,591,616 (see also Hiei et al. 1997, Plant. Molec. Biol. 35:205-218). The use of super virulent vectors in the disclosed protocol resulted in 95-100% transformation efficiency. However, the use of supervirulent vectors is associated with several problems including the difficulty of removing the *Agrobacterium* following exposure to the explant tissue, and a significant increase in the cost of the transformation protocol.

There is therefore a need within the art to transform monocot plants with reliable and simplified protocols using *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation protocols that incorporate readily available vectors.

It is an object of the invention to overcome disadvantages of the prior art.

The above object is met by the combinations of features of the main claims, the sub-claims disclose further advantageous embodiments of the invention.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method for the transformation of monocot plants. More specifically this invention relates to a method for the transformation of monocot plants using *Agrobacterium* sp..

According to the present invention there is provided a method (A) for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising,

- 3 -

- i) exposing explant tissue of the monocot to an *Agrobacterium* strain under vacuum in the presence of a phenolic compound, the *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector;
- ii) removing the *Agrobacterium* from the explant tissue;
- 5      iii) adding an antibiotic against the *Agrobacterium*; and
- iv) selecting explant tissue.

This invention also relates to the method (A) as defined above, wherein the step of selecting explant tissue further comprises:

- 10      i) maintaining the explant tissue on media in the absence of a selection agent in order for the tissue to differentiate, thereby producing differentiated calli;
- ii) transferring the differentiated calli to media containing a selection agent; and
- 15      iii) obtaining calli that grow in the presence of the selection agent thereby obtaining transformed monocot calli.

This invention is also directed to the method (A) as defined above wherein the *Agrobacterium* comprises a regular binary, or a super virulent vector.

20

This invention also relates to a method (A) as defined above wherein the explant tissue comprises a callused coleoptile node, or comprises a zygotic embryo.

This invention is also directed to a method (B) for the transformation of a  
25      monocot plant comprising:

- i) transferring explant tissue of the monocot plant to a suspension of *Agrobacterium* to obtain a mixture, the *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector;
- ii) maintaining the mixture under vacuum in the presence of  
30      acetosyringone;

- 4 -

- iii) releasing the vacuum and further incubating the explant tissue in the presence of said *Agrobacterium*;
- iv) transferring the explant tissue into fresh media comprising acetosyringone and incubating in the dark;
- 5 v) washing the explant tissue with an antibiotic against the *Agrobacterium*, transferring said explant tissue to fresh media and allowing the explant tissue to differentiate, thereby producing differentiated calli;
- vi) transferring the differentiated calli to media containing a selection agent. and maintaining the differentiated calli in the light; and
- 10 vii) obtaining calli that grow in the presence of the selection agent.

The present invention also embraces the method (B) as defined above wherein the *Agrobacterium* comprises a regular binary, or a super virulent vector.

- 15 This invention also pertains to the method (B) as defined above wherein the fresh media of step v) comprises an antibiotic against said *Agrobacterium*.

The present invention relates to the method (B) as defined above wherein the explant tissue is callused coleoptile node, or comprises a zygotic embryo.

20

The present invention also pertains to a method (C) for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising,

- 25 i) transferring explant tissue from the monocot plant into media comprising a phenolic compound, and a suspension of *Agrobacterium* to obtain a mixture, the *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector;
- ii) washing the explant tissue with an antibiotic against the *Agrobacterium* and transferring the explant tissue to fresh media comprising
- 30 acetosyringone and incubating the explant tissue in the dark;

- 5 -

- iii) transferring the explant tissue to fresh media and allowing the explant tissue to differentiate, thereby producing differentiated calli;
- iv) transferring the differentiated calli to media containing a selection agent, and maintaining the differentiated calli in the light; and
- 5 v) obtaining calli that grow in the presence of the selection agent.

The present invention pertains to a method for the transformation of monocot plants with a gene of interest, wherein no wounding of the tissue is required for transformation to occur. Furthermore, monocot plants may be transformed using a regular binary vector following the method as described herein, so that the use of super virulent vectors is not required. However, the present method may also be used with super virulent vectors. Without wishing to be bound by theory, the success of transformation of monocot plants using the method of the present invention may in part due to the treatment of explant tissue with *Agrobacterium* in liquid culture, and in part  
10 to the selection of transformed calli following differentiation of the explant tissue. Furthermore, the step of vacuum infiltration may also be used to increase the rate of transformation.

This summary of the invention does not necessarily describe all necessary  
20 features of the invention but that the invention may also reside in a sub-combination of the described features.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

25 These and other features of the invention will become more apparent from the following description in which reference is made to the appended drawings wherein:

**FIGURE 1** shows a T-DNA construct 35S-mnSOD:Ubi-bar comprising a maize intron

30 **FIGURE 2** shows PCR analysis for the bar and MnSOD genes. Figure 2 (A) analysis of bar gene. Figure 2 (B), analysis of MnSOD gene. Lane1: 1Kb DNA

- 6 -

ladder; Lanes 2 to 14: plant DNA from 13 independent transgenic creeping bentgrass plants; Lanes 15 to 18: DNA from four non-transgenic creeping bentgrass plants; Lane 19: no DNA; Lane 20: pSOD-bar plasmid DNA.

5 **FIGURE 3** shows PCR results of putative transgenic perennial ryegrass plants using primers to amplify the bar gene. L=1 kB ladder, + = pSODbar plasmid (Lanes 17A,19A), - = H2O negative control (Lanes 21A, 23A; Figure 3A), nt = non-transgenic perennial ryegrass plant negative control (Lanes 19B, 21B, 23B; Figure 3B). In Figures 3A and 3B, 35 of the 39 extracts amplified the  
10 expected PCR product.

**FIGURE 4** shows Southern hybridization of DNA obtained from 5 independent transgenic plants digested with BstXI and probed with a *bar* gene probe. Arrow indicates 5000 bp fragment. Lane 1 - Ladder. Lane 2-6 - independent  
15 transgenic plants. Lane 7 - pSODbar plasmid

**FIGURE 5** shows Western analysis of perennial ryegrass transformed as described herein. Lane 1,2 - Non transgenic plants, Lane 3-6 - Independent transgenic  
20 plants. Arrow indicates unique protein of approximately 90 kDa molecular weight present in lanes 3 (plant E50), 4 (plant E68), 6 (plant E101).

**FIGURE 6** shows a native protein isozyme gel of proteins obtained from transformed  
25 plants. In order to stain specifically for MnSOD activity, Cu/ZnSOD and FeSOD isozymes were inactivated by 30 min incubation at room temperature in 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in phosphate buffer, followed by staining for SOD activity as described (Example 2). Lane 1 - MnSOD marker from *E. coli*. Lane 2 - Non transgenic plant. Lane 3,4 - independent transgenic plants E50 and E68.  
30 Arrow indicates MnSOD activity

- 7 -

**DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENT**

The present invention relates to a method for the transformation of monocot plants. More specifically this invention relates to a method for the transformation of monocot plants using *Agrobacterium* sp..

The following description is of a preferred embodiment by way of example only and without limitation to the combination of features necessary for carrying the invention into effect.

10

The method of the present invention is directed to the transformation of monocot plants, using regular *Agrobacterium*-based vectors. By regular binary vector, it is meant vectors that result in an average transformation efficiency when used in dicot transformation systems, for example, but not limited to, Bin19. Such vectors may be used within a variety of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strains, for example, but not limited to, *Agrobacterium* strain C58.

Furthermore, the transformation protocol of the present invention does not require any wounding of tissue in order to achieve cellular uptake of the vector.

20

The method of the present invention involves the preparation of explant tissue, which includes but is not limited to the coleoptile node, or zygotic embryo and exposure of this tissue to a suspension of *Agrobacterium* cells comprising a gene of interest (within an optional marker gene) within a vector, to be introduced within the monocot plant. If coleoptile node tissue is used, then preferably the tissue is callused. However, it is to be understood that other plant tissues or explant tissues may also be used for transformation following the methods as described herein. The *Agrobacterium* suspension - explant tissue mixture may be maintained for a period of time under vacuum at a temperature from about 15°C to about 28 °C. Preferably, the mixture is incubated at about 22°C.

30

- 8 -

The vacuum treatment of the mixture may last from about 1 to about 120 minutes, preferably, the incubation time under vacuum is from about 5 to about 60 minutes. More preferably, the incubation under vacuum is from about 10 to about 15 minutes. Without wishing to be bound by theory it is thought that the contact between the cells of the explant and *Agrobacterium*, is enhanced under vacuum. An approximately two fold increase in transformation efficiency is obtained by vacuum treating explants, when compared with an analogous protocol that lacks the vacuum infiltration step (see Example 1). Following the vacuum treatment, the explants remain within the *Agrobacterium* suspension for a period of time, for example, from 1 to about 60 minutes, however, longer incubation times may also be used if desired.

The *Agrobacterium* suspension comprises any incubation medium suitable for the culture of the explant. For example, such a medium would include, but is not limited to a basal medium, for example MS (Murashige and Skoog basal medium (1962, *Physiol. Plant* 15:473-497) further comprising;

- sucrose from about 5 g/L to about 50 g/L;
- at least one amino acid, such as but not limited to, asparagine, from about 50 mg/L to about 300 mg/L;
- 2,4-D, or its equivalent, from about 1 mg/L to about 50 mg/L, BAP, or its equivalent, from about 1 mg/L to about 50 mg/L, or both 2,4-D and BAP, from about 1 mg/L to about 50 mg/L; and optionally,
- casein hydrolysate (from about 50 mg/L to about 1 g/L), 2,4-D (from about 0.1 mg/L to about 5 mg/L), dicamba (from about 0.5 mg/L to about 20 mg/L), and a phenolic, for example, but not limited to, acetosyringone (from about 5  $\mu$ M to about 200  $\mu$ M).

It is preferred that this medium also comprise a phenolic compound capable of inducing the *vir* genes on Ti plasmids, for example, but not limited to, acetosyringone. The medium is at a pH from about 4.5 to about 7, preferably at a pH of about 5.2 to about 5.8. Examples of several media, which are to be considered non-limiting, are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Tissue Culture Media Composition

		Embryo Induction		Co-cultivation Medium		Embryo Dev
		Medium (solid)		(liquid)		Medium
		MSCB	MSPR	MSCBcc	MSPRcc	MSO
5	pH	5.8	5.8	5.2	5.2	5.8
	Basal medium	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
	Sucrose (g/L)	20	20	20	20	10
	asparagine (mg/L)	150	150	150	150	-
	casein hydrolysate (mg/L)	-	500	-	500	-
	BAP (mg/L)	10	10	10	10	-
	2,4-D (mg/L)	0.5	-	0.5	-	-
	dicamba (mg/L)	-	5	-	5	-
15	Phytigel (g/L)	2	2	-	-	2
	acetosyringone (μM)	-	-	40	40	-

Following transformation, the explants are permitted to differentiate on a suitable media, for example but not limited to MSCB or MSPR (Table 1), from about 2 weeks to about 3 months, prior to selection for the occurrence of the selectable marker to produce differentiated calli. Preferably the period of time for producing differentiated calli is from about 4 to about 8 weeks (see Table 2, Example 1).

Following differentiation, calli are transferred to a medium, such as MSO, which contains an appropriate selection agent. To aid in identification of transformed plant cells, the constructs of this invention may be manipulated to include plant selectable markers. Useful selectable markers include enzymes which provide for resistance to an antibiotic such as, but not limited to, phosphinithricin, gentamycin,

- 10 -

hygromycin, kanamycin. Similarly, enzymes providing for production of a compound identifiable by colour change such as *GUS* ( $\beta$ -glucuronidase), or luminescence, such as luciferase may also be employed. The step of selecting for occurrence of the selectable marker may be repeated using higher concentrations of selection agent as  
5 required. Performing the step of allowing callus growth following co-cultivation of tissue with *Agrobacterium* prior to transfer to selection medium results in higher rates of transformation.

The protocol as described herein may be used for the transformation of any  
10 monocotyledonous plant, including for example, but not limited to, grasses, for example, Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), Canada bluegrass (*Poa compressa*) and bromgrass (*Bromus inermis*), agricultural plants, for example corn (*Zea maize*), rice, and wheat.

15 The above description is not intended to limit the claimed invention in any manner, furthermore, the discussed combination of features might not be absolutely necessary for the inventive solution.

The present invention will be further illustrated in the following examples.  
20 However it is to be understood that these examples are for illustrative purposes only, and should not be used to limit the scope of the present invention in any manner.

### Examples

#### 25 Transformation protocol

Seeds are surface sterilized and germinated for 3 days in the dark. The seedlings are transferred to solid embryogenic callus induction medium (e.g. MSCB or MSPR, depending upon the species used) and maintained in the dark for 10-60 days  
30 at 22-25°C. Cultured explant tissue, including the callused coleoptile node or mature zygotic embryo is excised and incubated for 1 hour on liquid embryogenic callus

- 11 -

induction medium (e.g. MSCBcc or MSPRcc). A suspension of *Agrobacterium* (strain C58; density OD 0.5-0.7) is also incubated for 1 hour on liquid embryogenic callus induction medium. Cultured explant tissue is transferred to a suspension of *Agrobacterium* (strain C58; density OD 0.5-0.7) for 1 hour comprising liquid  
5 embryogenic callus induction medium (e.g. MSCBcc or MCPPrcc) and acetosyringone. For the first 10-15 min, tubes containing the *Agrobacterium* - explant tissue mixture are maintained under vacuum (about 18-24 mm Hg; 24-32 mbar). The mixture is then maintained at atmospheric pressure at 22°C for the following 45 min.

10 The explants are transferred to a container containing liquid embryogenic callus induction medium (e.g. MSCBcc or MSPRcc) comprising acetosyringone (pH 5.2) and maintained for 3 days in the dark at from about 22 to about 25°C. The callused nodes are removed, washed for 0.5 hr with an antibiotic (for example claforan, 2 g/l) to kill *Agrobacterium*, thereby killing remaining *Agrobacterium*, and blotted dry on sterile  
15 filter paper.

The explants are then placed on solid embryo induction medium (e.g. MSCB or MSPR) containing an antibiotic to eliminate *Agrobacterium*, for example, claforan (300 mg/L) and cultured in the dark from about 22°C to about 25°C. After about 1-2  
20 months calli start to differentiate and sections start to separate, the calli are transferred to MSO medium (see Table 1 for composition), containing a selectable marker selection agent, and cultured in light. The concentration of the selection agent is increased over time in order to select transformed plants. The step wise increase in selection agent concentration avoids toxic effects caused by death of large numbers of  
25 tissue/cells during the selection process.

#### Construction of plasmid.

The operations for constructing the plasmid were carried out in accordance with  
30 Ausubel, F.M., Brent, R. Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A. and Struhl, K. 1989. Current protocols in molecular biology. John Wiley & Sons, NY.

- 12 -

The pNOS-nptII region (2349 bp) was deleted from pEX1SOD (Bowler et al, 1991. Embo J. 10:1723-1732) using the restriction enzymes StuI and NruI. The Ubiquitin promoter-intron-Bar-Nos 3' region from the pAHC25 vector (Christensen, A.H. and Quail, P.H. 1996. Transgenic Research 5:213-18) was excised using partial digestion  
5 with the restriction enzyme EcoRI. Following electrophoretic separation, the 2890 bp fragment was filled in with Klenow fragment enzyme (Gibco BRL), and inserted into pEX1SOD at the site previously occupied by pNOS-nptII. Three sets of digestion with the restriction enzymes PstI, HindIII and SalI followed by electrophoretic separation confirmed the insertion orientation of the 2890 bp fragment. The obtained plasmid was  
10 named pSOD-bar.

#### Introduction of pSOD-bar into *Agrobacterium*

Competent *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58Cl cells, which contained the  
15 virulent plasmid pMP90, were produced as described by Ausubel et al. (Ausubel, F.M., Brent, R., Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A. and Struhl, K. 1989. Current protocols in molecular biology. John Wiley & Sons, NY). The pSOD-bar vector was electroporated into cells using a Gibco BRL Cell-Porator Electroporation System in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. 20 ng of  
20 the pSOD-bar plasmid were used to transform 20  $\mu$ L of competent *A. tumefaciens* C58Cl cells. After electroporation and removal of the sample from the microelectroporation chamber, the sample was incubated for 2 h at 28°C in 1 ml of SOC medium. After 1 h incubation, a 100  $\mu$ L aliquot was plated on solid LB medium containing the selection antibiotics Streptomycin (30 mg/L) and Spectinomycin (30  
25 mg/L) and incubated in the dark for 48 hrs at 28°C. Long term cultures for use in transformation experiments were produced as described by Ausubel et al. (1989).

#### **Example 1: Transformation of Creeping Bentgrass**

30 Creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis palustris*) cv 'Cobra' was transformed as described above with pSOD-bar. To obtain cultured explant tissue, seeds were surface sterilized,

- 13 -

germinated for 3 days in the dark, and seedlings transferred to solid embryogenic callus induction medium (MSCB) and maintained in the dark for 3-5 wks at 22-25°C. The construct was introduced via *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation as outlined above using MSCBcc (liquid) co-cultivation medium. Following the 3 day co-cultivation, the explants were then placed on MSCB solid medium containing 300-500 mg/L claforan and cultured in the dark from about 22 to about 25°C. After 4-8 wks on MSCB medium in the dark, transformed calli were transferred to MSO medium containing the selection agent PPT (phosphinothricin) at 5 mg/L and claforan (300 mg/L) and cultured in the light to allow the plants to grow. After 4 wk, surviving calli were transferred to fresh MSO medium containing 5 mg/L PPT and claforan (300 mg/L), and after an additional 2 wk, were transferred to MSO medium containing 10 mg/L PPT.

Plants recovered from this process were sampled for the presence of introduced genes using PCR. Leaf tissue (approx. 0.1 g) was ground with liquid nitrogen and placed into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing 600 µL of warm (55°C) 2X CTAB extraction buffer (Rogers and Bendich, 1994: Plant Molecular Biology Manual D1, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Belgium). Tubes were incubated for 15-20 min at 55°C, cooled to room temperature, 300 µL of chloroform/octanol (24:1) are added, and mixed by inversion for 5 min. Tubes were centrifuged (13,000 x g) for 5 min at room temperature, and the supernatant transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube. 300 µL of chloroform/octanol (24:1) was added and the mixing, centrifugation, and the supernatant transfer steps were repeated. 5 µL Rnase A (10 mg/L) was added, the tubes were inverted 2-3 times to mix the solution and left for 15 min at room temperature. After 15 min, 600 µL of isopropanol was added, the tubes were mixed by inverting 2-3 times and held at -20°C for at least 2 hrs. The tubes were centrifuged 15-20 min at 4°C and the supernatant was discarded. The DNA pellet was washed in 70% ethanol for few minutes and then centrifuged for 2-3 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet dried for approximately 1-2 hrs. The DNA was resuspended in 20 µL of sterile water and the quality and concentration was confirmed using a 0.8% agarose gel with ethidium bromide staining.

- 14 -

For the PCR reaction, 1  $\mu$ L plant DNA (20-100 ng/ $\mu$ L) was combined with 17.8  $\mu$ L sterile water, 2.5  $\mu$ L 10x Taq Buffer containing 15 mM  $MgCl_2$ , 2.5  $\mu$ L dNTPs (1mM), 0.5  $\mu$ L primer 1 (10 pmoles/ $\mu$ L), 0.5  $\mu$ L primer 2 (10 pmoles/ $\mu$ L), and 0.2  $\mu$ L Taq polymerase. The total volume of the reaction was 25  $\mu$ L. The primer pairs used to detect the bar gene were:

5'-CCGTCTGCGGGAGCGCTATCC-3' (SEQ ID NO 1:); and

5'-CATCGCAAGACCGGCAACAGG-3' (SEQ ID NO:2),

and the primer pairs used to detect the MnSOD gene were:

5'-AGAAACCAAAGGGTCCTG-3' (SEQ ID NO:3); and

10 5'-GAGCAGACGGACCTTAGC-3' (SEQ ID NO:4).

For amplification using the bar primer pairs, the PCR program was 5 min at 94°C, then 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 70°C for 1.5 min and 72°C for 1.5 min, followed by 5 min at 72°C and holding at 4°C (Figure 2 (A)). For amplification using the MnSOD primer pairs, the PCR program was 5 min at 94°C, then 30 cycles of 94°C  
15 for 1 min, 56°C for 1.5 min and 72°C for 1.5 min, followed by 5 min at 72°C and holding at 4°C (Figure 2 (B)). PCR products were visualized on a 0.8% agarose gel with ethidium bromide.

Expression of the introduced MnSOD gene was detected using native PAGE  
20 gels. Leaf tissue was excised from a vegetative stage shoot. The sample (0.5g) was frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground, and resuspended in 1 ml of 50 mM  $KH_2PO_4$ , pH 7.8. The homogenate was centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatant was transferred to a clean tube, and centrifuged again for 5 min at 4°C. The protein content of the supernatant was determined (Coomassie Protein Assay, Pierce). A  
25 constant volume (20  $\mu$ L) containing 150  $\mu$ g protein was applied to a 13% polyacrylamide gel with a 4% stacking gel (McKersie et al. 1993 Plant Physiology 103:1155-1163). The proteins were separated at 10 mA constant current for 1 h, and 15 mA for the following 2 h. The gel was stained for 20 to 30 min in dark at 4°C with an equal volume mix of staining solution A (0.06 mM riboflavin, 0.651% TEMED in  
30 100 ml phosphate buffer (50 mM  $KH_2PO_4$  and 50mM  $K_2HPO_4$ )) and solution B (2.5 mM NBT in 100 ml phosphate buffer). Then the gel was illuminated at 4°C in a light

- 15 -

box for 20 min. Areas of superoxide dismutase activity were negatively stained against a blue background.

5 An experiment was conducted to evaluate the vacuum treatment during exposure of the tissue to *Agrobacterium*, and to evaluate the effect of maintaining the explant tissue on differentiation medium in the absence of selection agent for a four-week period following exposure to *Agrobacterium*. This experiment involved the following treatment combinations:

- 10 A) the transformation protocol as outlined above, with vacuum during *Agrobacterium* exposure;
- B) a control treatment with no *Agrobacterium* during the vacuum treatment;
- C) the transformation protocol as outlined but without vacuum during the *Agrobacterium* exposure;
- 15 the transformation protocol of (A), however, the length of time prior to transfer to a medium containing the selection agent ( i.e. to produce differentiated calli) is
- D) 3 weeks;
- E) 2 weeks;
- F) 1 week; and
- 20 G) 0 week.

The explants in the last treatment were immediately transferred to selection medium following *Agrobacterium* exposure. In all treatment combinations, the total length of time the explants were cultured in the dark was 4 weeks. For example,

25 treatment F (1 week treatment) involved 1 week dark incubation on selection agent free MSCB medium, 3 weeks dark incubation on MSCB medium containing the selection agent, followed by transfer to MSO medium containing the selection agent and cultured in the light. Table 2 summarizes the results of this experiment.

- 16 -

**Table 2 Summary of a transformation experiment comparing the vacuum treatment during *Agrobacterium* exposure, and the effect of reducing the number of weeks between the exposure of the explants to *Agrobacterium* and transfer to medium containing the selection agent.**

5	Treatment	Vacuum	No. weeks with no selection agent	No. explants	No. of embryogenic explants following selection in light	% Transformation efficiency
	A. <i>Agrobacterium</i>	yes	4	24	18	75 %
	B. No- <i>Agrobacterium</i>	yes	4	10	0	0 %
	C. <i>Agrobacterium</i>	NO	4	26	11	42 %
10	D. <i>Agrobacterium</i>	yes	3	23	9	39 %
	E. <i>Agrobacterium</i>	yes	2	23	1	4 %
	F. <i>Agrobacterium</i>	yes	1	25	0	0 %
	G. <i>Agrobacterium</i>	yes	0	23	0	0 %

15 Applying the transformation method as described in the present invention, 75 % of the explants generated embryos following stepwise transfer on media containing the selection agent. As expected, the no-*Agrobacterium* control (B) did not produce embryos on media containing the selection agent. Not applying the vacuum treatment (C) during *Agrobacterium* exposure reduced the transformation efficiency from 75 %  
 20 to 42 %. Decreasing the time between *Agrobacterium* exposure and transfer to medium containing the selection agent reduced the transformation efficiency: a 3 week period reduced the efficiency to 39 % and a 2-week period reduced the efficiency to 4 %. No embryos were obtained if the period was reduced to 1-week or if the explants were immediately transferred to medium containing the selection agent.

25

#### **Example 2: Transformation of Perennial ryegrass**

Perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) was transformed using the above method with a binary vector. The DNA construct was that used in Example 1.

- 17 -

To obtain cultured explant tissue, seeds were surface sterilized, germinated for 3 days in the dark. Seedlings were surface sterilized and transferred to solid embryogenic callus induction medium (MSPR) and maintained in the dark for 10-60 days. The construct was introduced via *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation as outlined above using MSPRcc (liquid) co-cultivation medium. Following the 3 day co-cultivation, the explants were then placed on MSPR solid medium containing 300-500 mg/L claforan and cultured in the dark from about 22 to about 25°C for 3-8 wk. Transformed calli were transferred to MSO medium containing the selection agent PPT (phosphinothricin) at 5 mg/L and cultured in the light to allow the plants to grow. After 4 wk, surviving calli were transferred to MSO medium containing 5 mg/L PPT, and after an additional 2 wk, were transferred to MSO medium containing 10 mg/L PPT. Through the selection phase, all cultures were maintained in the light. Plants recovered from this process were sampled for the presence of the introduced genes using PCR (see Figure 3). DNA was extracted from putative transgenic perennial ryegrass plants using a method from CIMMYT (Applied Molecular Genetics Laboratory Manual p.2-3) with the following three modifications; 600  $\mu$ L CTAB at room temperature was added to approximately 20 5mm pieces of grass tissue, the samples were homogenized using a Fast Prep FP120 machine (Bio 101) for 20 seconds then heated at 68 °C for 20 min, and 4-5  $\mu$ L RNAase A (10ng/  $\mu$ L) was used in the reaction mixture. Approximately 20ng of genomic DNA was then used in a PCR reaction (Stratagene Robocycler 96) to amplify the *bar* gene (468 bp product), with 1.3 units Expand High Fidelity DNA polymerase mix (Roche Diagnostics), 0.1mM dNTP, 1/10 10x Expand HF buffer with 15mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.2 $\mu$ M of each primer 1 and primer 2 as described for creeping bentgrass. The amplification was a single 5 minute cycle at 94°C followed by 30 cycles of 1.5 minutes at 94°C , 2 minutes at 68°C and 2 minutes at 72°C and ended with one 5 minute cycle at 72°C. Each PCR sample was electrophoresed on a 0.8%/TBE agarose gel and visualised by staining with ethidium bromide.

Table 3 summaries the results of four separate transformation experiments conducted using two varieties of Perennial ryegrass, Elite and Affinity. The

- 18 -

transformation efficiency, computed as the proportion of explants that transgenic plants were recovered from and transferred to a greenhouse, averaged 25% with a range of 10-55% among the four experiments and for the two varieties.

5 **Table 3 Summary of transformation experiments conducted using embryogenic calli of Perennial ryegrass.**

Variety	No. of explants	No. of explants following selection	Total number of putative transgenic plants recovered	PCR Screening, % plants positive
Elite	40 calli	5	4	100
Elite	20 calli	11	21	100
Affinity	20 calli	6	4	100
Elite	100 calli	13	169	89
Transformation efficiency (% of explants yielding transgenic plants)	Mean	25%		
	Range	10-55%		

Southern hybridization was performed to confirm genomic insertion of the gene construct (Figure 4). Genomic DNA was extracted using the method of Junghans and Metzlaiff (1990 BioTechniques 8:176) with an extra step to precipitate polysaccharides as described by Michaels et al., 1994 (BioTechniques 17:274-276). Briefly, after phenol/chloroform extraction, NaCl was added to a concentration of 25mM. 0.35 volume of 100% ethanol at room temperature (RT) was added dropwise with continual mixing and samples were incubated on ice for 20 min. Samples were spun at 10,000 x g for 5 min, the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and precipitated with 1 volume of isopropanol. DNA concentration was determined using a spectrofluorophotometer (Shimadzu) and the Hoechst dye assay (Hoefer Scientific Instruments Technical Bulletin #119). Hoechst 33258 dye was diluted to a final concentration of 0.1 µg/mL in 1X TNE (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 M NaCl, pH

- 19 -

7.4). 2  $\mu$ L of DNA was added and assayed at  $\lambda$  excitation 365nm and  $\lambda$  emission 455 nm. DNA quality was also assessed by electrophoresis on a 0.8%/TBE agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. A 15-25  $\mu$ g DNA sample was digested overnight in a 400  $\mu$ L restriction enzyme digest. After digestion, samples were precipitated with 2.5  
5 volume of 100% ethanol and 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate pH 7, both at 4 °C, incubated at -20 °C for a minimum 1.5 hr, spun at 12,000 x g at 4 °C . The pellet was washed 2X with 70% ethanol at 4 °C, dried and resuspended overnight at 4 °C in 18  $\mu$ L sterile ddH<sub>2</sub>O, pH 8. DNA was loaded and electrophoresed on a 1% agarose/TBE gel at 20 volts overnight. 30 ng of a DIG labelled ladder and 500 pg of pSODbar  
10 plasmid was also loaded. DNA was transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane (Roche Diagnostics) by capillary transfer with 20X SSC buffer overnight at RT. Following the transfer, the membrane was UV crosslinked on both sides, rinsed briefly in ddH<sub>2</sub>O and allowed to dry completely.

15 The membrane was prehybridized in DIG Easy Hyb Solution (prepared from DIG Easy Hyb Granules, Roche Diagnostics) for 30 min at 37 °C. PCR DIG Probe Synthesis Kit was used to prepare a Digoxigenin-labelled *bar* probe by PCR of 200 pg of pSODbar using the primers P1 (SEQ ID NO:1) and P2 (SEQ ID NO:2) and conditions described above, except that the final nucleotide concentrations were 2mM  
20 aATP, dCTP, dGTP, 1.3 mM dTTP and 0.7 mM DIG-dUTP (Roche Diagnostics). The PCR labelled probe was diluted 1  $\mu$ L: 1 mL with DIG Easy Hyb solution. The probe was denatured prior to use by heating at 68 °C for 10 min and flash cooling on ice. Following prehybridization, the membrane was incubated overnight at 37 °C with the DIG probe. The membrane was washed 2X 5 min in 2X SSC(0.1% SDS) at RT,  
25 followed by 2X15 min washes in 0.5X SSC (0.1% SDS) at 68 °C. Blocking and detection were carried out as described by the manufacturer (Roche Diagnostics) using the DIG Wash and Block Buffer Set, Anti-Digoxigenin AP Fab Fragments and CDP-Star chemiluminescent substrate. The membrane was exposed to X-Omat AR film (Kodak) until the desired signal was attained (Figure 4).

30

- 20 -

To confirm that the introduced MnSOD gene was expressed, Western blot hybridization was performed (Figure 5). Total protein was extracted from young leaf blade tissue through vortexing with liquid nitrogen in 15 mL Nalgene tubes including 3 cylindrical grinding beads (Bio 101). Frozen homogenized tissue (100 mg) was added to 125  $\mu$ L of  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  buffer pH 7.8 on ice. Samples were centrifuged at 4 °C at 12,000 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was removed and the spin repeated. Protein concentration was determined spectrophotometrically using the Pierce Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent Kit (Pierce). Samples were diluted 1:1 with sample buffer and 50  $\mu$ g of protein for each sample were loaded onto a 0.75mm thick non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel consisting of 4% stacking and 12% separating sections. The proteins were electrophoresed at 4°C in a Mini Protean II system (Bio-Rad) at 550 volts with 7.5mA per gel while stacking and 15 mA per gel while separating in tank buffer composed of 25 mM Tris and 64 mM L-isoleucine. Electrophoresis was stopped when the dye front ran off the bottom of the gel. The gel was then equilibrated for 15 min at RT in transfer buffer composed of 25 mM Tris and 192 mM glycine. Proteins were electroblotted overnight at 4 °C onto an Immuno-Blot PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) pre-wet in 100% methanol and equilibrated in transfer buffer for 5 min. A Mini Trans-Blot cell (Bio-Rad) was used for electroblotting at 30 volts and 90 mA. Voltage was increased to 100 for 30 min in the morning, then the membrane was rinsed 3X 5min at RT in sterile ddH<sub>2</sub>O and allowed to dry completely. Immunological detection was carried out following the manufacturers instructions (Bio-Rad Amplified Opti-4CN Detection Kit) except that the blocking solution was 5% non-fat dry milk powder in Tris Buffered Saline (TBS) composed of 20mM Tris pH 7.5 with HCl and 500 mM NaCl. The membrane was incubated for 1.5 hr in antibody against MnSOD (diluted 1:5000) from *N. plumbaginifolia* provided by Laboratorium voor Genetica, Universiteit Gent, Gent, Belgium which were prepared as described by Bowler et al. 1991 (EMBO J. 10:1723-1732). The membrane was then incubated for 1.15 hr with goat-anti rabbit antibody diluted 1:3000 (Figure 5).

To confirm that the introduced MnSOD gene produced an active protein, SOD enzymatic activity was measured using native PAGE gels. Total protein was extracted

- 21 -

and electrophoresed as described above, except that 300  $\mu$ g of protein were loaded onto the gel which was composed of 4% stacking and 10% separating sections. Following electrophoresis, the gel was assayed for SOD activity in a stain containing 0.06 mM riboflavin, 0.651% N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED and 2.5 mM Nitro Blue Tetrazolium (NBT) in phosphate buffer (50mM  $K_2PO_4$  pH adjusted to 7.8 with 50 mM  $KH_2PO_4$ ) for 30 min in the dark at 4 °C. In order to stain specifically for MnSOD activity, Cu/ZnSOD and FeSOD isozymes were inactivated by 30 min incubation at RT in 3%  $H_2O_2$  in phosphate buffer, followed by staining for SOD activity as described. Gels were illuminated at 4 °C on a white light box for approximately 20 minutes, or until the desired signal was attained (Figure 6).

Treatment with 3%  $H_2O_2$ , inhibited the activity of Cu/Zn and FeSOD isozymes. Following the treatment, areas of MnSOD activity were apparent on the gel (Figure 6). Transgenic plants had greater MnSOD activity and a unique isozyme band compared to extracts from non transgenic plants. This confirmed that the introduced MnSOD transgene expressed an active MnSOD enzyme in these transgenic plants.

### Example 3: Transformation of Perennial Ryegrass Mature Zygotic Embryos

Perennial ryegrass seeds were dehusked, surface sterilized and allowed to germinate on sterile filter paper overnight. Embryos were then dissected from the seeds and embryos were placed in a 1.5mL microfuge tube (20 embryos per tube) and were transformed with *Agrobacterium* as outlined above using MSPRcc (liquid) co-cultivation medium. Following the vacuum treatment, the tubes were sealed and placed horizontally for the remainder of the 1 hour incubation period. Following incubation, all liquid was pipetted from the tubes and 1.5 mL of MSPRcc (liquid) co-cultivation medium was added. Embryos were incubated, horizontally, in the dark for 3 days. Embryos were rinsed, blotted dry, and were plated to MSPR solid embryo induction medium for callus induction for 4 weeks in the dark and transferred to fresh MSPR for an additional 4 weeks in the dark. Transformed calli were then transferred to MSO medium containing the selection agent PPT (phosphinothricin) at 5 mg/L and cultured

- 22 -

in the light to allow the plants to grow. After 4 wk, surviving calli were transferred to MSO medium containing 5 mg/L PPT, and after an additional 2 wk, were transferred to MSO medium containing 10 mg/L PPT. Through the selection phase, all cultures were maintained in the light. Plants recovered from this process were  
 5 sampled for the presence of the introduced genes using PCR. Leaf tissue was excised and DNA extracted using a Qiagen Dneasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mississauga, ON) following the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA extract was subjected to PCR using the same protocol and primer pairs as described for creeping bentgrass (Example 1).

10

The following table (Table 4) summaries the results of two transformation experiments conducted using dissected embryos of Perennial ryegrass. The transformation efficiency computed as the percent explants that formed embryos on selection medium averaged 22.5%, similar to that found for previous experiments  
 15 using calli as an explant source (Example 2).

**Table 4 Summary of transformation experiments conducted using embryos of Perennial ryegrass.**

Variety	No. of explants	No. of embryogenic explants following selection	PCR Screening, % plants positive
Elite	40 embryos	8	100
Elite	40 embryos	10	100
Transformation efficiency*	Mean	22.5 %	
	Range	20-25 %	

\* % of explants yielding transgenic plants

25

All citations are incorporated by reference.

The present invention has been described with regard to preferred embodiments. However, it will be obvious to persons skilled in the art that a number of variations

- 23 -

and modifications can be made without departing from the scope of the invention as described herein.

-24-

**THE EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION IN WHICH AN EXCLUSIVE  
PROPERTY OF PRIVILEGE IS CLAIMED ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. A method for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising,
  - i) exposing explant tissue of said monocot plant to an *Agrobacterium* strain under vacuum in the presence of a phenolic compound, said *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector;
  - ii) removing said *Agrobacterium* from said explant tissue;
  - iii) adding an antibiotic against said *Agrobacterium*; and
  - iv) selecting explant tissue for occurrence of said heterologous gene of interest.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of selecting explant tissue further comprises:
  - i) maintaining said explant tissue on media in absence of a selection agent producing differentiated calli;
  - ii) transferring said differentiated calli to media containing a selection agent; and
  - iii) obtaining calli that grow in the presence of the selection agent.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein said *Agrobacterium* comprises a regular binary vector.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein said explant tissue is callused coleoptile node.
5. The method of claim 1 wherein said explant tissue comprises a zygotic embryo.
6. The method of claim 2 wherein said *Agrobacterium* comprises a super virulent vector.

-25-

7. The method of claim 1, wherein said phenolic compound is acetosyringone.
8. The method of claim 7, wherein said explant tissue is exposed under vacuum from about 10 to about 15 min.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein said antibiotic comprises claforan.
10. A method for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising,
  - i) placing explant tissue of said monocot plant into media comprising a suspension of *Agrobacterium* to obtain a mixture, said *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector;
  - ii) maintaining said mixture under vacuum in the presence of acetosyringone;
  - iii) releasing said vacuum and further incubating said explant tissue in the presence of said *Agrobacterium*;
  - iv) transferring said explant tissue to fresh media comprising acetosyringone and incubating said explant tissue in the dark;
  - v) washing said explant tissue with an antibiotic against said *Agrobacterium*,
  - vi) transferring said explant tissue to fresh media and allowing said explant tissue to differentiate, thereby producing differentiated calli;
  - vii) placing said differentiated calli onto media containing a selection agent, and maintaining said differentiated calli in the light; and
  - viii) obtaining calli that grow in the presence of the selection agent.
11. The method of claim 10 wherein said *Agrobacterium* comprises a super virulent vector.
12. The method of claim 10 wherein said *Agrobacterium* comprises a regular binary vector.

-26-

13. The method of claim 10 wherein the fresh media of step v) comprises said antibiotic against said *Agrobacterium*.
14. The method of claim 10 wherein said explant tissue is callused coleoptile node.
15. The method of claim 10 wherein said explant tissue comprises a zygotic embryo.
16. The method of claim 13 wherein said antibiotic is claforan.
17. A method for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising,
  - i) placing explant tissue of said monocot plant into media comprising a phenolic compound, and a suspension of *Agrobacterium* to obtain a mixture, said *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector;
  - ii) washing said explant tissue with an antibiotic against said *Agrobacterium* and transferring said explant tissue to fresh media comprising acetosyringone and incubating said explant tissue in the dark;
  - iii) transferring said explant tissue to fresh media and allowing said explant tissue to differentiate, thereby producing differentiated calli;
  - iv) placing said differentiated calli to media containing a selection agent, and maintaining said differentiated calli in the light; and
  - v) obtaining calli that grow in the presence of the selection agent.
18. The method of claim 17 wherein said *Agrobacterium* comprises a super virulent vector.
19. The method of claim 17 wherein said *Agrobacterium* comprises a regular binary vector.

-27-

20. The method of claim 17 wherein the media of step iii) comprises said antibiotic against said *Agrobacterium*.

21. The method of claim 17 wherein said explant tissue is callused coleoptile node.

22. The method of claim 17 wherein said explant tissue comprises a zygotic embryo.

23. The method of claim 2, wherein, in said step of maintaining, said explant tissue is maintained on media in absence of a selection agent from about 3 weeks to about 8 weeks.

24. The method of claim 10, wherein, in said step of transferring, said explant tissue is maintained on media in absence of a selection agent from about 3 weeks to about 8 weeks.

25. The method of claim 17, wherein, in said step of transferring, said explant tissue is maintained on media in absence of a selection agent from about 3 weeks to about 8 weeks.

1/6




FIGURE 1

2/6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20




FIGURE 2A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20



FIGURE 2B

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

3/6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25




FIGURE 3A

4/6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25




FIGURE 3B

5/6




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5

6/6




FIGURE 6

1/2

## SEQUENCE LISTING

## (1) GENERAL INFORMATION:

- 5 (i) APPLICANT:  
    (A) NAME: University of Guelph  
    (B) STREET: Gordon St.  
    (C) CITY: Guelph  
10 (D) STATE: Ontario  
    (E) COUNTRY: Canada  
    (F) POSTAL CODE (ZIP): N1G 2W1
- 15 (ii) TITLE OF INVENTION: Monocot Transformation Using Agrobacterium
- (iii) NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 4
- (iv) COMPUTER READABLE FORM:  
    (A) MEDIUM TYPE: Floppy disk  
20 (B) COMPUTER: IBM PC compatible  
    (C) OPERATING SYSTEM: PC-DOS/MS-DOS  
    (D) SOFTWARE: PatentIn Release #1.0, Version #1.30 (EPO)
- 25 (v) CURRENT APPLICATION DATA:  
    APPLICATION NUMBER:  
    FILING DATE:

## (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 1:

- 30 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
    (A) LENGTH: 21 base pairs  
    (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: single  
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- 35 (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: other nucleic acid  
    (A) DESCRIPTION: /desc = "primer 1"

40

## (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 1:

CCGTCTGCGG GAGCGCTATC C

21

45

## (2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 2:

- 50 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
    (A) LENGTH: 21 base pairs  
    (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
    (C) STRANDEDNESS: single  
    (D) TOPOLOGY: linear
- (ii) MOLECULE TYPE: other nucleic acid  
55 (A) DESCRIPTION: /desc = "primer 2"

2/2

5 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 2:  
CATCGCAAGA CCGGCAACAG G 21

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 3:

10 (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
(A) LENGTH: 18 base pairs  
(B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: single  
15 (D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(ii) MOLECULE TYPE: other nucleic acid  
(A) DESCRIPTION: /desc = "primer 3"

20

(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 3:  
25 AGAAACCAAA GGGTCCTG 18

(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO: 4:

(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:  
(A) LENGTH: 18 base pairs  
30 (B) TYPE: nucleic acid  
(C) STRANDEDNESS: single  
(D) TOPOLOGY: linear

(ii) MOLECULE TYPE: other nucleic acid  
35 (A) DESCRIPTION: /desc = "primer 4"

40 (xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO: 4:  
GAGCAGACGG ACCTTAGC 18

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
5 October 2000 (05.10.2000)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 00/58484 A3**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **C12N 15/82**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/CA00/00306**

(22) International Filing Date: **24 March 2000 (24.03.2000)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:  
2,267,014 26 March 1999 (26.03.1999) **CA**

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH** [CA/CA]; Reynolds Building, Suite 213, Office of Research, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1 (CA).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **ROJAS, Brenda**

[CA/CA]: 64 Dumbarton, Guelph, Ontario N1E 3T6 (CA). **BOWLEY, Stephen, R.** [CA/CA]; 50 Alice Street, Cambridge, Ontario N1E 2Z8 (CA). **DEVEREAUX, Alissa** [CA/CA]; 72 William Street, Apt. #2, Guelph, Ontario N1E 5E6 (CA).


(74) Agents: **SECHLEY, Konrad, A.** et al.; Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP., Suite 2600, 160 Elgin Street, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3 (CA).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **TRANSFORMATION OF MONOCOTYLEDONEOUS PLANTS USING AGROBACTERIUM**



(57) Abstract: The present invention is directed to a method for the transformation of a monocot plant comprising exposing explant tissue of said monocot to an *Agrobacterium* strain under vacuum in the presence of a phenolic compound, said *Agrobacterium* strain comprising a heterologous gene of interest within a vector. The *Agrobacterium* is removed from the explant tissue, and an antibiotic against the *Agrobacterium* is added, and the transformed tissue is plated onto growth medium, grown, and then plated onto selection media. The method may be used with vectors comprising either a vir+ vector, or a super virulent vector.



WO 00/58484 A3



(AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent  
(AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU,  
MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,  
GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:  
18 January 2001

**Published:**

— With international search report.

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
 IPC 7 C12N15/82

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C12N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, BIOSIS, MEDLINE, CHEM ABS Data, SCISEARCH, BIOTECHNOLOGY ABS, CAB Data

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 99 07210 A (RAJBHANDARI NIRMALA ;UNIV NORTH CAROLINA (US); STOMP ANNE MARIE (U) 18 February 1999 (1999-02-18)	1-3, 5-13, 15-20, 22-25 4,14,21
Y	page 16, line 4-26 page 21, line 3 -page 22, line 10 page 23, line 25-31 page 24, line 4-6 page 24, line 21 -page 25, line 12 examples 32,35,42-44 ---	
X	WO 97 48814 A (MONSANTO CO) 24 December 1997 (1997-12-24)	1-3, 5-13, 15-20,22 4,14,21
Y	page 13, line 3-13 examples 1,2 ---	
	-/--	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 October 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

19/10/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

ALCONADA RODRIG., A

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indications where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X Y	WO 98 49332 A (PIONEER HI BRED INT ;CAI TISHU (US); ZHAO ZUO YU (US); PIERCE DORO) 5 November 1998 (1998-11-05)  page 7, line 3 -page 8, line 28 page 18, line 30 -page 19, line 14 page 20, line 1-6 page 23, line 31 -page 25, line 4 tables 1,2  ---	17-20,22  1,3, 5-13,15, 16,21
X Y	WO 98 32326 A (PIONEER HI BRED INT) 30 July 1998 (1998-07-30)  page 12, line 15-32 page 13, line 10 -page 14, line 2 page 18, line 14 -page 19, line 4 page 32, line 20-27 claims 27-32 examples 4,5  ---	17-20,22  1-3, 5-13,15, 16,21
X Y	DALE P J ET AL: "AGROINFECTION OF WHEAT: INOCULATION OF IN VITRO GROWN SEEDLINGS AND EMBRYOS" PLANT SCIENCE,IE,LIMERICK, vol. 63, no. 2, 1989, pages 237-245, XP000913734 ISSN: 0168-9452  page 239, left-hand column, paragraph 5 page 239, right-hand column, last paragraph -page 240, left-hand column, paragraph 1  ---	1,3,5-9  1-3, 5-13,15, 16
Y	CHAUHAN R S ET AL: "Variation for some morphological traits and leaf rust reaction among regenerants of bread wheat cultivar Sonalika." CEREAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 23, no. 1-2, 1995, pages 109-116, XP000950007 ISSN: 0133-3720 page 110, paragraphs 4,5  ---	4,14,21
A	WO 86 03776 A (PLANT GENETIC SYSTEMS NV) 3 July 1986 (1986-07-03) example 2  ---  -/--	1-25

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indications where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	HIEI Y ET AL: "Transformation of rice mediated by Agrobacterium tumefaciens" PLANT MOLECULAR BIOLOGY,NL,NIJHOFF PUBLISHERS, DORDRECHT, vol. 35, no. 1/02, September 1997 (1997-09), pages 205-218, XP002124031 ISSN: 0167-4412 the whole document ----	1-25
A	EP 0 267 159 A (CIBA GEIGY AG ;LUBRIZOL GENETICS INC (US)) 11 May 1988 (1988-05-11) page 13, line 25-41 page 14, line 52 -page 16, line 16 -----	4,14,21

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Interr. National Application No

PCT/CA 00/00306

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9907210 A	18-02-1999	AU 8779998 A EP 1037523 A	01-03-1999 27-09-2000
WO 9748814 A	24-12-1997	AU 3402897 A CA 2230216 A CN 1208437 A CZ 9800867 A EP 0856060 A HU 9902123 A	07-01-1998 24-12-1997 17-02-1999 17-03-1999 05-08-1998 28-10-1999
WO 9849332 A	05-11-1998	AU 7134298 A ZA 9803603 A	24-11-1998 26-01-1999
WO 9832326 A	30-07-1998	US 5981840 A AU 6036898 A EP 0971578 A	09-11-1999 18-08-1998 19-01-2000
WO 8603776 A	03-07-1986	AU 5309686 A EP 0205518 A	22-07-1986 30-12-1986
EP 0267159 A	11-05-1988	AU 611652 B AU 8089387 A BR 8705984 A DD 281409 A IL 84381 A JP 63141590 A NZ 222435 A US 5569597 A US 6037526 A	20-06-1991 12-05-1988 14-06-1988 08-08-1990 26-08-1994 14-06-1988 26-04-1990 29-10-1996 14-03-2000